

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,076		08/10/2001	John M. Harris	CE08983R	7354
22917	7590	08/10/2004		EXAM	INER
MOTOROLA, INC.				DILDINE JR, R STEPHEN	
1303 EAST IL01/3RD	ALGON	QUIN ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196				2133	
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	, 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Applicant(s)				
,		09/928,076	HARRIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		R. Stephen Dildine	2133				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a kition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing yeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4-13 and 17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2001 in Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ole to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
·	·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.  S. Patent and Indement Office.							

Art Unit: 2133

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gradischnig (WO 99/59299). A comparison of applicants' claims with Gradischnig follows:

Applicants' Claim 1	Gradischnig
receiving a plurality of frames	Figure 3 Receiver receives frames 21, 23-25 etc.
determining that a received frame of the plurality of frames violates a predetermined pattern of frames expected to be received.	Figure 3, frame 22 missing so signal USTAT(22), N(MR)=28 sent to transmitter
Applicants' Claim 2	Gradischnig
communicating to a sending device that the received frame violates the predetermined pattern.	Figure 3, signal USTAT(22), N(MR)=28 sent to transmitter
Applicants' Claim 3	Gradischnig
the step of communicating comprises the step of generating a Smart NAK comprising a value corresponding to an expected sequence number of the received frame.	is a NAK comprising a value (22)
Applicants' Claim 14	Gradischnig
receiving a plurality of frames	Figure 3 Receiver receives frames 21, 23-25 etc.
determining that a received frame of the plurality of frames violates a predetermined	Figure 3, frame 22 missing so signal USTAT(22), N(MR)=28 sent to

the predetermined pattern

Art Unit: 2133

#### Applicants' Claim 15

# Applicants' Claim 16

the step of communicating comprises the step of generating a Smart NAK comprising a value is a NAK comprising a value (22) corresponding to an expected sequence number corresponding to an expected sequence of the received frame

#### Gradischnig

communicating that the received frame violates Figure 3, signal USTAT(22), N(MR)=28 sent to transmitter

#### Gradischnig

Figure 3, signal USTAT(22), N(MR)=28 number of the received frame

Claims 1-4 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rezaiifar et al. (6,011,796). A comparison of applicants' claims with Rezaiifar et al. follows:

#### Applicants' Claim 1

## receiving a plurality of frames

determining that a received frame of the plurality of frames violates a predetermined pattern of frames expected to be received.

#### Applicants' Claim 2

communicating to a sending device that the received frame violates the predetermined pattern.

#### **Applicants' Claim 3**

the step of communicating comprises the step of generating a Smart NAK comprising a value receive system transmits one or more corresponding to an expected sequence number NAK messages requesting retransmission of the received frame.

#### Rezaiifar et al.

Abstract, lines 4-5: "data frames are transmitted including an eight-bit sequence number and a one-bit retransmit flag"

Column 6, lines 33-34 "If L SEQ does not equal L V(R), an out of order frame has been received"

#### Rezaiifar et al.

column 6, lines 36-38 "At step 166, the receive system transmits one or more NAK messages requesting retransmission of all unreceived frames from L V(N) to (L V(R)-1) MOD 4096 inclusive"

#### Rezaiifar et al.

column 6, lines 36-38 "At step 166, the of all unreceived frames from L V(N) to (L V(R)-1) MOD 4096 inclusive"

Art Unit: 2133

Applicants' Claim 14	Rezaiifar et al.
receiving a plurality of frames	Abstract, lines 4-5: "data frames are transmitted including an eight-bit sequence number and a one-bit retransmit flag"
determining that a received frame of the plurality of frames violates a predetermined pattern of frames expected to be received	Column 6, lines 33-34"If L_SEQ does not equal L_V(R), an out of order frame has been received"
Applicants' Claim 15	Rezaiifar et al.
communicating that the received frame violates the predetermined pattern	column 6, lines 36-38 "At step 166, the receive system transmits one or more NAK messages requesting retransmission of all unreceived frames from L_V(N) to (L_V(R)-1) MOD 4096 inclusive"
Applicants' Claim 16	Rezaiifar et al.
the step of communicating comprises the step of generating a Smart NAK comprising a value corresponding to an expected sequence number of the received frame	

Claims 4-13 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Xylomenos et al. is cited to show (in § 4.2) basic and modified ARQ schemes.

Art Unit: 2133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Stephen Dildine whose telephone number is 703-305-5524. The examiner can normally be reached on M, Tu, Th, F 5:55 am to 4:25 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 703-305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Stephen Dildine Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

R. Stephen Dildine